



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,316	05/26/2000	Pierre Girard	100954-001	9687

7590

03/03/2003

Thomas J. Wall, Esq.  
Wall, Marjama & Bilinski  
101 South Salina Street  
Suite 400  
Syracuse, NY 13202

EXAMINER

FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1774

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/508,316	Applicant(s) GIRARD ET AL.	
	Examiner Lawrence D Ferguson	Art Unit 1774	<i>#16</i>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Lawrence D Ferguson. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Pete Bilinski. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Suzuki et al (U.S. 4,298,652).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY  
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

*Cynthia Kelly*

*L. Ferguson*  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed removing 'intended to be' language from claims 1 and 4. Applicant maintained argument of Suzuki comprising natural ground calcium carbonate, which is different from precipitated calcium carbonate. Examiner pointed to column 5, lines 30-39, which disclose conventional pigments containing precipitated calcium carbonate. Applicant proposed amending claims 1 and 4 to read 'one specific pigment chosen exclusively from the group consisting of silica and precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC) ' instead of 'one specific pigment chosen from the group consisting of silica and precipitated calcium carbonate'. Examiner once again pointed to column 5, lines 30-39, which discloses the pigments do not necessarily comprise all the pigments listed but may exclusively contain precipitated calcium carbonate, thus meeting the proposed amendment to claims 1 and 4 .